Report for the Instructor

Texas A&M University Department of PHILOSOPHY & HUMANITIES

Semester: FALL, 2002

Course: PHIL240 Student Ratings of Faculty

Section: 502 Instructor: MENZEL C Summarized by

Total Option Forms: Overall Mean:

24 4.57

*Mean Scores on A 5 Pt Basis

Instr	uctor: MENZEL C Measurer	Measurement & Research Services								(SA=5, A=4, U=3, D=2, SD=1)						
1			Response Distribution													
1	Questions	Usable	SA		A		l u		D		SD		Mean*			
1		Resp.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pat.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.				
1.	The instructor seemed well prepared for each class.	24	20	83.3	4	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4.83			
] 2.	The instructor seemed to care whether the students learned.	24	21	87.5	3	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4.88			
3.	The instructor seemed enthusiastic about the material that was presented.	24	22	91.7	2	8.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4.92			
4.	The instructor stimulated my interest in the subject.	24	10	41.7	7	29.2	5	20.8	l 1	4.2	1	4.2	4.00			
5.	The instructor's presentations added to my understanding of	24	12	50.0	12	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4.50			
-	the material.						1				1					
6.	The instructor adequately answered questions from students.	24	17	70.8	7	29.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4.71			
7.	I believe this instructor was an effective teacher.	24	19	79.2	5	20.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4.79			
8.	The exams/projects were presented and graded fairly.	24	16	66.7	8	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4.67			
9.	Help was readily available for questions and/or homework	24	18	75.0	6	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4.75			
-	outside of class.												1			
10.	On the whole, this is a good instructor.	24	16	66.7	8	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4.67			
11.	I would take another course from this professor.	24	14	58.3	7	29.2	2	8.3	0	0.0	1	4.2	4.38			
12.	The course was well-organized.	24	14	58.3	7	29.2	2	8.3	0	0.0	1	4.2	4.38			
13.	The course requirements were clear.	24	14	58.3	7	29.2	2	8.3	0	0.0	1	4.2	4.38			
14.	The amount of work and/or reading was reasonable for the	23	13	56.5	8	34.8	1	4.3	0	0.0	1	4.3	4.39			
	credit hours received in the course.												}			
15.	On the whole, this was a good course.	24	13	54.2	9	37.5	1	4.2	0	0.0	1	4.2	4.38			

Report for the Instructor Texas A&M University Total Option Forms: 17 Semester. FALL, 2002 Department of PHILOSOPHY & HUMANITIES Overall Mean: 4.36 Course. PHIL240 Student Ratings of Faculty Section. 501 Summarized by *Mean Scores on A 5 Pt Rasis Instructor: MENZEL C Measurement & Research Services (SA=5, A=4, U=3, D=2, SD=1) Response Distribution Questions Usablei SA Α SDMean* Resp. No. Pct. No. Pct. No. Pct. No. Pct. No. Pct. 1. The instructor seemed well prepared for each class. 14 82.4 3 17.6 | 0 0.0 | 0 0.0 0.0 | 4.82 The instructor seemed to care whether the students learned. 70.6 4 23.5 0.0 0.0 5.9 | 4.53 The instructor seemed enthusiastic about the material that 70.6 17 4 23.5 0.0 0.0 5.9 4.53 was presented. The instructor stimulated my interest in the subject. 17 29.4 5 29.4 5.9 5.9 3.71 5. The instructor's presentations added to my understanding of 17 35.3 0.0 3 17.6 0.0 4.12 the material 6. The instructor adequately answered questions from students. 17 29.4 5.9 0.0 5.9 4.35 I believe this instructor was an effective teacher. 11 64.7 23.5 5.9 5.9 0.0 | 4.47 The exams/projects were presented and graded fairly. 17 10 58.8 35.3 5.9 0.0 0.0 | 4.53 9. Help was readily available for questions and/or homework 11 64.7 4 23.5 2 11.8 0.0 0.0 | 4.53 outside of class. On the whole, this is a good instructor. 29.4 0.0 5.9 0.0 4.53 I would take another course from this professor. 47.1 23.5 4 23.5 0.0 5.9 4.06 The course was well-organized. 7 41.2 47.J. 2 11.8 0 0.0 0.0 | 4.29 The course requirements were clear. 10 58.8 35.3 5.9 0.0 0.0 4.53 14. The amount of work and/or reading was reasonable for the 5 29.4 10 58.8 0.0 2 11.8 0.0 4.35 credit hours received in the course. 15. On the whole, this was a good course. 17 7 41.2 7 41.2 2 11.8 0.0 5.9 4.12

Report for the Instructor Texas A&M University Total Option Forms: 23 Semester: FALL, 2002 Department of PHILOSOPHY & HUMANITIES Overall Mean: 4.47 Course: PHIL240 Student Ratings of Faculty Section. 503 Summarized by *Mean Scores on A 5 Pt Basis Instructor, MENZEL C Measurement & Research Services (SA=5, A=4, U=3, D=2, SD=1)Response Distribution Questions Usable SA Mean* Resp. No. Pct. No. Pct. No. Pct. No. Pct. No. Pct. 1. The instructor seemed well prepared for each class. 18 78.3 5 21.7 0.0 1 0.0 | 4.78 0.0 The instructor seemed to care whether the students learned. 14 60.9 9 39.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.61 3. The instructor seemed enthusiastic about the material that 17 73.9 6 26.1 0 0.0 1 0.0 4.74 0.0 was presented. 4. The instructor stimulated my interest in the subject. 4 17.4 14 60.9 4 17.4 4.3 0.0 | 3.91 5. The instructor's presentations added to my understanding of 10 43.5 11 47.8 8.7 0.0 0.0 4.35 the material. 6. The instructor adequately answered questions from students. 11 47.8 12 52.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 | 4.48 7. I believe this instructor was an effective teacher. 16 69.6 6 26.1 4.3 0.0 0.0 4.65 8. The exams/projects were presented and graded fairly. 13 56.5 9 39.1 1 4.3 0.0 0.0 | 4.52 9. Help was readily available for questions and/or homework 12 52.2 10 43.5 4.3 0.0 0.0 4.48 outside of class. 10. On the whole, this is a good instructor. 16 69.6 7 30.4 0.0 1 0.0 0.0 4.70 11. I would take another course from this professor. 11 47.8 10 43.5 2 8.7 0 0.0 0.0 | 4.39 12. The course was well-organized. 8 34.8 12 52.2 3 13.0 O 0.0 0.0 4.22 13. The course requirements were clear. 12 52.2 11 47.8 0.0 0.0 1 0.0 4.52 14. The amount of work and/or reading was reasonable for the 12 52.2 10 43.5 4.3 0.0 0.0 4.48 credit hours received in the course. 15. On the whole, this was a good course. 9.1 22 7 31.8 | 13 59.1 | 0.0 0.0 | 4.23