PHIL 124 (1): Kinds of Minds

2024-25 J-Term | Deke Gould

19 | Students Enrolled19 | Students Responded100% | Response Rate

Quantitative

Describe the frequency of your instructor's teaching procedures.	Hardly Ever	Occasional ly	Sometimes	Frequently	Almost Always	W	DNA	<u>SD</u>	<u>M</u>
The Instructor:									
Found ways to help students answer their own questions	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	21.05% (4)	78.95% (15)	19	0	0.41	4.79
Helped students to interpret subject matter from diverse perspectives (e.g., different cultures, religions, genders, po- litical views)	0% (0)	10.53% (2)	10.53% (2)	26.32% (5)	52.63% (10)	19	0	1	4.21
Encouraged students to reflect on and evaluate what they have learned	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	10.53% (2)	89.47% (17)	19	0	0.31	4.89
Demonstrated the importance and sig- nificance of the subject matter	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	15.79% (3)	84.21% (16)	19	0	0.36	4.84
Formed teams or groups to facilitate learning	0% (0)	15.79% (3)	47.37% (9)	36.84% (7)	0% (0)	19	0	0.69	3.21
Made it clear how each topic fit into the course	0% (0)	0% (0)	5.26% (1)	31.58% (6)	63.16% (12)	19	0	0.59	4.58
Provided meaningful feedback on stu- dents' academic performance	5.26% (1)	0% (0)	10.53% (2)	36.84% (7)	47.37% (9)	19	0	1	4.21
Stimulated students to intellectual ef- fort beyond that required by most courses	0% (0)	0% (0)	5.26% (1)	36.84% (7)	57.89% (11)	19	0	0.6	4.53
Encouraged students to use multiple resources (e.g., Internet, library holdings, outside experts) to improve understanding	10.53% (2)	10.53% (2)	36.84% (7)	31.58% (6)	10.53% (2)	19	0	1.1	3.21
Explained course material clearly and concisely	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	26.32% (5)	73.68% (14)	19	0	0.44	4.74

Hardly Ever	Occasional ly	Sometimes	Frequently	Almost Always	W	DNA	<u>SD</u>	<u>M</u>
0% (0)	0% (0)	10.53% (2)	42.11% (8)	47.37% (9)	19	0	0.67	4.37
0% (0)	10.53% (2)	26.32% (5)	42.11% (8)	21.05% (4)	19	0	0.91	3.74
0% (0)	0% (0)	5.26% (1)	31.58% (6)	63.16% (12)	19	0	0.59	4.58
15.79% (3)	36.84% (7)	21.05% (4)	21.05% (4)	5.26% (1)	19	0	1.13	2.63
5.26% (1)	0% (0)	15.79% (3)	47.37% (9)	31.58% (6)	19	0	0.97	4
5.26% (1)	5.26% (1)	10.53% (2)	15.79% (3)	63.16% (12)	19	0	1.16	4.26
0% (0)	5.26% (1)	21.05% (4)	15.79% (3)	57.89% (11)	19	0	0.96	4.26
0% (0)	5.26% (1)	5.26% (1)	36.84% (7)	52.63% (10)	19	0	0.81	4.37
0% (0)	0% (0)	15.79% (3)	15.79% (3)	68.42% (13)	19	0	0.75	4.53
	0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 15.79% (3) 5.26% (1) 5.26% (1) 0% (0) 0% (0)	Ever ly 0% (0) 0% (0) 0% (0) 10.53% (2) 0% (0) 0% (0) 15.79% (3) 36.84% (7) 5.26% (1) 0% (0) 5.26% (1) 5.26% (1) 0% (0) 5.26% (1) 0% (0) 5.26% (1)	Ever ly 0% (0) 0% (0) 10.53% (2) 0% (0) 10.53% (2) 26.32% (5) 0% (0) 0% (0) 5.26% (1) 15.79% (3) 36.84% (7) 21.05% (4) 5.26% (1) 0% (0) 15.79% (3) 5.26% (1) 5.26% (1) 10.53% (2) 0% (0) 5.26% (1) 21.05% (4) 0% (0) 5.26% (1) 5.26% (1)	Ever ly 0% (0) 10.53% (2) 42.11% (8) 0% (0) 10.53% (2) 26.32% (5) 42.11% (8) 0% (0) 0% (0) 5.26% (1) 31.58% (6) 15.79% (3) 36.84% (7) 21.05% (4) 21.05% (4) 5.26% (1) 0% (0) 15.79% (3) 47.37% (9) 5.26% (1) 5.26% (1) 10.53% (2) 15.79% (3) 0% (0) 5.26% (1) 21.05% (4) 15.79% (3) 0% (0) 5.26% (1) 5.26% (1) 36.84% (7)	Ever ly Always 0% (0) 0% (0) 10.53% (2) 42.11% (8) 47.37% (9) 0% (0) 10.53% (2) 26.32% (5) 42.11% (8) 21.05% (4) 0% (0) 0% (0) 5.26% (1) 31.58% (6) 63.16% (12) 15.79% (3) 36.84% (7) 21.05% (4) 21.05% (4) 5.26% (1) 5.26% (1) 0% (0) 15.79% (3) 47.37% (9) 31.58% (6) 5.26% (1) 10.53% (2) 15.79% (3) 63.16% (12) 0% (0) 5.26% (1) 21.05% (4) 15.79% (3) 57.89% (11) 0% (0) 5.26% (1) 5.26% (1) 5.26% (1) 52.63% (10)	Ever ly Always 0% (0) 0% (0) 10.53% (2) 42.11% (8) 47.37% (9) 19 0% (0) 10.53% (2) 26.32% (5) 42.11% (8) 21.05% (4) 19 0% (0) 0% (0) 5.26% (1) 31.58% (6) 63.16% (12) 19 15.79% (3) 36.84% (7) 21.05% (4) 21.05% (4) 5.26% (1) 19 5.26% (1) 0% (0) 15.79% (3) 47.37% (9) 31.58% (6) 19 5.26% (1) 5.26% (1) 10.53% (2) 15.79% (3) 63.16% (12) 19 0% (0) 5.26% (1) 21.05% (4) 15.79% (3) 57.89% (11) 19 0% (0) 5.26% (1) 21.05% (4) 15.79% (3) 57.89% (11) 19 0% (0) 5.26% (1) 5.26% (1) 36.84% (7) 52.63% (10) 19	Ever ly Always 0% (0) 0% (0) 10.53% (2) 42.11% (8) 47.37% (9) 19 0 0% (0) 10.53% (2) 26.32% (5) 42.11% (8) 21.05% (4) 19 0 0% (0) 0% (0) 5.26% (1) 31.58% (6) 63.16% (12) 19 0 15.79% (3) 36.84% (7) 21.05% (4) 21.05% (4) 5.26% (1) 19 0 5.26% (1) 0% (0) 15.79% (3) 47.37% (9) 31.58% (6) 19 0 5.26% (1) 5.26% (1) 10.53% (2) 15.79% (3) 63.16% (12) 19 0 0% (0) 5.26% (1) 21.05% (4) 15.79% (3) 57.89% (11) 19 0 0% (0) 5.26% (1) 21.05% (4) 15.79% (3) 57.89% (11) 19 0 0% (0) 5.26% (1) 36.84% (7) 52.63% (10) 19 0	Ever ly Always 0% (0) 0% (0) 10.53% (2) 42.11% (8) 47.37% (9) 19 0 0.67 0% (0) 10.53% (2) 26.32% (5) 42.11% (8) 21.05% (4) 19 0 0.91 0% (0) 5.26% (1) 31.58% (6) 63.16% (12) 19 0 0.59 15.79% (3) 36.84% (7) 21.05% (4) 5.26% (1) 19 0 0.97 5.26% (1) 0% (0) 15.79% (3) 47.37% (9) 31.58% (6) 19 0 0.97 5.26% (1) 5.26% (1) 10.53% (2) 15.79% (3) 63.16% (12) 19 0 1.16 0% (0) 5.26% (1) 15.79% (3) 57.89% (11) 19 0 0.96 0% (0) 5.26% (1) 36.84% (7) 52.63% (10) 19 0 0.81

Describe your progress on:	No Apparent Progress	Slight Progress	Moderate Progress	Substantia I Progress	Exceptiona I Progress	Ņ	DNA	SD	<u>M</u>
Gaining a basic understanding of the subject (e.g., factual knowledge, methods, principles, generalizations, theories)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	50% (9)	50% (9)	18	0	0.5	4.5
Developing knowledge and understand- ing of diverse perspectives, global awareness, or other cultures	5.56% (1)	11.11% (2)	38.89% (7)	27.78% (5)	16.67% (3)	18	0	1.06	3.39
Learning to <i>apply</i> course material (to improve thinking, problem solving, and decisions)	0% (0)	5.56% (1)	11.11% (2)	38.89% (7)	44.44% (8)	18	0	0.85	4.22
Developing specific skills, competencies, and points of view needed by professionals in the field most closely related to this course	0% (0)	0% (0)	16.67% (3)	38.89% (7)	44.44% (8)	18	0	0.73	4.28
Acquiring skills in working with others as a member of a team	11.11% (2)	33.33% (6)	33.33% (6)	11.11% (2)	11.11% (2)	18	0	1.13	2.78
Developing creative capacities (inventing; designing; writing; performing in art, music, drama, etc.)	16.67% (3)	16.67% (3)	33.33% (6)	16.67% (3)	16.67% (3)	18	0	1.29	3
Gaining a broader understanding and appreciation of intellectual/cultural activity (music, science, literature, etc.)	0% (0)	16.67% (3)	33.33% (6)	27.78% (5)	22.22% (4)	18	0	1.01	3.56
Developing skill in expressing myself orally or in writing	11.11% (2)	11.11% (2)	27.78% (5)	16.67% (3)	33.33% (6)	18	0	1.34	3.5
Learning how to find, evaluate, and use resources to explore a topic in depth	0% (0)	5.56% (1)	27.78% (5)	38.89% (7)	27.78% (5)	18	0	0.87	3.89
Developing ethical reasoning and/or ethical decision making	5.56% (1)	0% (0)	11.11% (2)	50% (9)	33.33% (6)	18	0	0.97	4.06
Learning to <i>analyze</i> and <i>critically evaluate</i> ideas, arguments, and points of view	0% (0)	5.56% (1)	11.11% (2)	16.67% (3)	66.67% (12)	18	0	0.9	4.44
Learning to apply knowledge and skills to benefit others or serve the public good	5.56% (1)	11.11% (2)	27.78% (5)	27.78% (5)	27.78% (5)	18	0	1.16	3.61
Learning appropriate methods for col- lecting, analyzing, and interpreting nu- merical information	27.78% (5)	11.11% (2)	38.89% (7)	0% (0)	22.22% (4)	18	0	1.44	2.78
The Course: On the next two items, compare this course with others you have taken at this institution.	Much Less than Most Courses	Less than Most Courses	About Average	More than Most Courses	Much More than Most Courses	Ņ	DNA	<u>SD</u>	<u>M</u>
Amount of coursework	0% (0)	0% (0)	27.78% (5)	66.67% (12)	5.56% (1)	18	0	0.53	3.78
Difficulty of subject matter	0% (0)	0% (0)	50% (9)	44.44% (8)	5.56% (1)	18	0	0.6	3.56

	g items, choose the option sponds to your judgment.		More False than True	ln Between	More True than False		ely					W	DNA	<u>SD</u>	<u>M</u>
	t forth more effort than s on academic work.	0% (0)	0% (0)	55.56% (10)	22.22% (4)) 22.22%	(4)					18	0	0.82	3.67
l really wante gardless of wh	d to take this course re- no taught it.	0% (0)	5.56% (1)	22.22% (4)	44.44% (8)	27.78%	(5)					18	0	0.85	3.94
When this cou could master	urse began I believed I its content.	0% (0)	11.11% (2)	33.33% (6)	44.44% (8)) 11.11%	(2)					18	0	0.83	3.56
, ,	nd prepared me well for requirements.	11.11% (2)	27.78% (5)	33.33% (6)	11.11% (2)	16.67%	(3)					18	0	1.22	2.94
Overall, I rate lent teacher.	this instructor an excel-	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	5.56% (1)	94.44%	(17)					18	0	0.23	4.94
Overall, l rate	this course as excellent.	0% (0)	0% (0)	11.11% (2)	38.89% (7)	50% (9)						18	0	0.68	4.39
\$226-\$250	More than \$250	\$0	\$1-\$25	\$26-\$50	\$51	I- \$ 75	\$76-\$100	\$101-\$125	\$126-\$150	\$151-\$175	\$176-\$200	\$201- <u>N</u>	\$225 DNA	SD	<u>M</u>
	uch did you pay to acquire	5.88% (1)	52.94% (9)	41.18%	(7) 0%	(0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)		
packets, addit	rent) the textbooks, course tional materials, and/or on- des required for this class?	. 0% (0)	0% (0)									17	0	0.59	2.35
		Purchased new at a bookstore	Purchase used at a bookstore	new fro	om an use onl	rchased ed from an line okseller	Purchased an electronic version (Kindle, etc.)	Rented my books	Borrowed from class- mates or friends	Used interli- brary loan	Used library reserve copy	quire cause were	e costs		
Used other texts of re- sources in place of the one assigned	Obtained the All materia course were on packet Moodle	ls No text- books wer required	Skipped e materia (didn't l or borre	als buy	r							high <u>N</u>	DNA	<u>SD</u>	<u>M</u>
	cquire your textbooks,	0% (0)	0% (0)	40.91%	(9) 36.	36% (8)	0% (0)	4.55% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)		
and/or online	ts, additional materials, access codes for this select all the options that	0% (0)	0% (0)	9.09% (2	2) 0%	(0)	0% (0)	9.09% (2)				22	0	-	-
		None of them	Very few	of Some o them	f Mo	st of em	All of them					Ņ	DNA	SD	M
course packet	the required textbooks, ts, additional materials, access codes did you use	0% (0)	0% (0)	5.88% (*	1) 0%	(0)	94.12% (16)					17	0	0.47	4.88

	They nega- tively im- pacted my learning	They had no impact on my learning	They had a small posi- tive impact on my learning	They had moderately positive im- pact on my learning	They had a strong posi- tive impact on my learning				<u>"N</u>	DNA	<u>SD</u>	<u>M</u>
To what degree did the required text- books, course packets, additional mate- rials, and/or online access codes help you succeed in this class?	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	17.65% (3)	82.35% (14)				17	0	0.38	4.82
	A / A+	A- / B+	В	B- / C+	С	C- / D+	D	D- / F	W	DNA	SD	M
What final grade do you expect to receive in this class?	5.88% (1)	52.94% (9)	29.41% (5)	11.76% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	17	0	0.78	6.53

Qualitative

Comments -

- Due to your want for us to write in a way that we aren't used to and in most cases, is entirely new to us, it would be nice if we could get an example of how you want our writing to look rather than us having to guess.
- I really enjoyed this class. I believe the reading material that was given to us helped me a lot and I had no trouble mastering its contents. I didn't like that it was a no gadget classroom since the class is 3 hours long, but it was nice that I was constantly taking notes so I could focus on the material and stay engaged.
- It is a very interesting course, although it is slightly difficult because it is a l term and there is less time to go over learning so things may seem cramped.
- Thank you for being such a fun and great teacher!
- I thought the course was appropriately difficult in a way that allows for the critical thinking necessary for philosophical thought, I really enjoyed hearing about all of the different perspectives and I feel I have taken a lot from this class
- Documentary days were my favorities, especially the first couple about cephalopods because we got to see what we were reading about. I thoroughly enjoyed reading PGS's book, which was a lot more engaging and interesting than Dennett's, in my opinion. The first and last thirds of the class were the most interesting for me.
- This class challenged me like no other class I have taken but that is because this topic is completely new for me. I have learned so much in this class and it expanded my overall knowledge and taught me how to read hard content and apply logic.
- · Excellent teacher. No downsides.
- The amount of course material that was given was a reasonable amount given how much time we had during the term to work on it. It wasn't to difficult but it also wasn't to difficult that you couldn't figure out the meaning behind the readings. Professor was very attentive and made sure that students knew that he was readily available to help them in any way that he could.
- My favorite of the reading assignments that we did was the articles for the last 1/3 of the course. I got really tired of reading towards the end of Dennets book, and the articles helped me out of that burnt out state. Overall I really enjoyed the course although it was more challenging than most of the classes i've taken before.
- The lectures were much more interesting than I expected when I entered a 3-hour-long class. I was never really bored and it was not too redundant. I enjoyed not just being talked at and that it was more of a class discussion. I understand why the debates are graded as a group, but I feel as though they could have a section that attributes more/fewer points to those that contributed to the group overall.
- Just because a class requires a lot of reading does not mean it is too hard for a first year student. In my first J-term class, we were expected to read all three LotR novels AND a substantial number of Ancient Norse texts in about two weeks. That level of rigor was previously unknown to me, but it prepared me well for later classes that demand that level of commitment for 14 weeks, not three. Please do not assume that because some first year students struggle with "complex" texts that you have designed a course with unrealistic expectations; you have not done that. You have designed a very interesting class with very achievable learning outcomes, and students did not come to class understanding that. Class discussion was often uncomfortably slow due primarily to low average understanding of course content, which I found bizarre, since no curious person would look at the course content as anything other than genuinely fascinating. That is on them, not you.
- im so glad to have gotten the opportunity to take this course! while i already have a curiosity for creatures, i did not expect to change my opinion about the complexity of some of them (especially bees, which i previously assumed to just be little robots. now im not so sure). j term is always pretty intense so it was a struggle to meet the homework every day, but nothing unmanageable to which i couldn't finish it before class began. one thing i noticed is that i used all my time to read and didn't have as much time to consider the arguments and ideas until class time, and couldn't think up my own beliefs in time. i am wondering if periodically assigning small homework assignments in addition to the reading, perhaps 2-3 questions, might get students engaging a little more with the reading rather than perhaps passively going through it and get them to pause and really consider what they're reading. it doesn't even have to be graded, but having something to kind of ground my reading, so i know more specifics of what to pay attention to, might help! i really enjoyed the amount of group/partner work we got to do, which is more than im used to in philosophy courses. i thought it was really beneficial to at least getting students more engaged and feeling okay if they don't 'have the answers,' especially considering our class was a rather quiet one. i also thought the doc days were a great way of gathering knowledge without having to do more reading, i am glad you showed the bugs, despite disgust i think exposure is the only way for people to begin fighting their instincts. i have very little criticism. i love this class and talking about these creatures. maybe more bird talk, but thats it.
- kinds of minds was one of the most engaging and fun classes ive taken so far. I enjoyed the readings and the curated supplementary content on moodle. The workload was manageable. In retrospect one change which would have been preferable for me would be that we read dennett's book first followed by godfrey smith's because i felt that the PGS book made more sense to me after reading dennett's book which introduced many of the philosophical concepts which could have helped me better understand and appreciate the PGS book. Other than that, everything worked for me and I had a great learning experience.
- I believe what worked well for this class was having time with peers to discuss essay ideas or help each other with the final. Really liked the time for office hours and being able to come in for help. Logic was really cool to learn and refine throughout the class. I feel like class engagement wasn't as great as it could have been I wish I knew how you could encourage students to speak more. Maybe there could be a discussion portion where students will have to work on a specific topic we had to learn for the day like when we did the argument reconstruction. Also in terms of writing down notes you go fast and I think people are scared to speak up and lose out on notes at points.
- I appreciated how easy you made it to ask questions when I didn't understand the material and how understanding you were when I would miss class due to conflicting schedules.

Do you have any additional comments about particular textbooks, access codes, course packets, etc. used in this class that would help your instructor make decisions about using them in the future or not? -

- n/a
- Keep using the articles!
- I really enjoyed the M&P articles much more than PGS and Dennett. But both books still benefited my learning